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Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has affected many aspects of what we understand as ‘normality’. Looking back at 2020, the implementation of lockdowns and other restrictive measures became a worldwide strategy to curb the spread of the virus. These measures have heavily affected the hotel, restaurant, and catering (HORECA) sector, the activities of local retailers, the way in which we work and possibilities for travelling. Since March 2020, various global economic outlooks have reported on the potential impact of the pandemic on GDP (World Bank, 2020; IMF, 2020; OECD, 2020a). Most of these focus on the consequences globally or for large world regions, lacking insights at country and sector levels1, especially in the European Union (EU).

The course of 2020 revealed that the sectors most affected by the pandemic were those with a lower share of jobs demanding tertiary education (McKinsey, 2020). However, the agri-food industry was extremely resilient in responding to a challenging context characterised by the lack of (or extremely low) demand from the HORECA sector, the ‘stockpiling’ behaviour of consumers in the early days of the pandemic, the increase in e-commerce, initial labour shortages, and some disruptions in logistics (OECD, 2020b; WTO, 2020). Since the start of the pandemic, a variety of measures were implemented by the EU and at Member State level, with the aim of providing social safety nets and financial liquidity in agriculture and other sectors.

This paper aims at assessing how COVID-19 affected the agriculture and food sectors in selected EU Member States – the Netherlands, Germany, Italy, and Hungary – and exploring potential mid-term recovery pathways by using the AGMEMOD (Agricultural Member State Modelling) model. The recovery pathways are captured in a baseline and two extreme scenarios, based on differing economic prospects. The main drivers are the degree of success of the vaccination campaign, removals of lockdown measures, implementation of recovery packages, and the magnitude of permanent damages to productive capacity caused by the virus outbreak and containment measures. More specifically, an optimistic scenario assumes that the vaccination campaign delivers positive outcomes which permit the economy to return to pre-COVID rates of growth by 2023. In contrast, a pessimistic situation is also considered in which vaccination would happen at a slower path with successive waves of coronavirus pandemic delaying economic recovery. The baseline scenario is consistent with the expected economic developments for the period 2020-2022 as projected by the World Bank (World Bank, 2021) for the Euro Area, Bulgaria, Croatia, and Poland.

Methodology

For assessing the consequences of alternative COVID-19 recovery pathways for the agri-food sector, a simulation exercise using the AGMEMOD model2 is carried out. AGMEMOD is a dynamic, partial, multi-country, multi-market equilibrium system which represents the main

---

1 OECD (2020c) uses the AGLINK-COSIMO model to simulate two different scenarios which assume a single and a second wave for the spread of the virus respectively. The study indicates a progressive reduction of agricultural output in response to lower consumer demand, with livestock production expected to experience larger declines than cereal production.

2 The AGMEMOD model (Agricultural Member State Modelling) documentation is available at: https://agmemod.eu/.
agricultural sectors in each EU Member State. The model incorporates behavioural responses of economic agents to changes in agricultural prices and policy instruments. How the pandemic has affected the agri-food sector, and how and the associated measures implemented by the EU and at Member State level were translated into assumptions about the rate of economic growth are summarised in Figure 1.

At the time of carrying out this assessment, the World Bank outlook (World Bank, 2021) was the most up-to-date. Since AGMEMOD is Member-State specific, information at country level is required for each scenario. Consequently, the economic prospects for the Euro Area as a whole need to be translated into country-specific projections. In doing so, the distribution projected by OECD (2020a) is applied to the aggregate World Bank figures. For countries which are not included in the OECD outlook, the projected rates of growth are taken from the World Economic Outlook October 2020 published by IMF. For the period 2023-2030, it is assumed that the economy will grow at the same ‘speed’, i.e. rate of growth, as could have been expected if the COVID-19 outbreak had never happened. For the selected Member States (the Netherlands, Italy, Germany, and Hungary) GDP assumptions were taken from national statistical sources for 2020 and 2021.

Of the three recovery pathways, the extreme optimistic and pessimistic scenarios are modelled for sensitivity purposes. The pessimistic scenario attempts to simulate the potential negative consequences of an unsuccessful vaccination campaign, the emergence of new variants of the coronavirus, negative economic sentiment, as well as important losses of productive capacity resulting from deterioration in the quality of education during this period and the shutdown of companies among other factors. All these could delay economic recovery with ‘pre-COVID’ GDP growth rates only reached by 2029. In this extreme scenario, the 2021 rates of growth are assumed to be half of those in the reference scenario. For 2022 and 2023, GDP growth rates are assumed to be 60% and 70% of the expected GDP rates for 2021 and 2023, respectively, in the baseline. For 2024, 80% of the average of the expected 2025 rate of growth in the baseline and the rate of growth in the previous year of the scenario are assumed. For the period 2026-2028, the rates of growth are calculated by applying the following percentages for the baseline rates of growth for the corresponding year: 80%, 85%, and 90% for 2026, 2027, and 2028, respectively.

The optimistic scenario assumes a successful vaccination campaign which leads to the containment of the virus in early 2022, accompanied by public measures which promote private sector investments and favour structural reforms supporting future economic growth. The GDP level that corresponds to this scenario is calculated as the average value of the projected GDP levels that were expected in March 2020 (2019 AGMEMOD baseline) and the current baseline. GDP developments that were assumed for each scenario are presented in Table 1 and Figure 2.

Other important scenario inputs are world market prices, oil prices, inflation, and exchange rates. The expected developments for exchange rates over the period 2020-2022 are taken from the OECD Economic Outlook (OECD, 2020a). Oil prices are updated to reflect the situation in March 2020. The developments for world market prices indicate a return to the pre-COVID level by 2025. No differences in inflation rates among the scenarios are assumed. This is so since the optimistic and the pessimistic scenarios are simulated with the aim of examining the sensitivity of the results to changes in GDP.

Figure 1: Analytical framework.
Source: Own composition
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Figure 3 provides a comparison of the assumptions made regarding the prospects for world market prices of broad agricultural commodity groups. These price assumptions take into consideration exchange rate impacts, among which some depreciation of the euro in relation to the dollar, confirmed in early 2021, is particularly noteworthy.

Table 1: GDP developments for EU27, average rate of growth (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-COVID</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>-6.85</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimistic</td>
<td>-6.85</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pessimistic</td>
<td>-6.85</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Own compilation based on OECD (2020a), World Bank (2021) and others

Results

At the time of conducting this assessment, Dutch GDP was expected to decline by 6.2% in 2020. The impact of the COVID-19 crisis on the Dutch agri-food sector has turned out to be smaller than expected during the earlier days of the
pandemic. Initial trade disruptions, labour shortages and the adoption of a variety of containment measures were the key elements threatening the sector. Nevertheless, the measures taken at national (and international) level, including the partial closure of the Dutch HORECA sector since October 2020, have left some ‘scars’ in terms of sales and prices. Lockdown measures led to a strong decline in international sales of products such as flowers¹ and potatoes; while domestically, consumers’ dietary concerns resulted in consumption increases of free-range eggs, milk, fruit, and vegetables. These effects on international and domestic sales were subsequently translated into price impacts leading, for example, to price increases for free-range eggs and vegetables. In contrast, potato prices are estimated to be around 16% lower for 2020 when compared the pre-COVID case and the COVID-19 scenarios, returning to a level of €14.4/100 Kg by 2022 in the baseline case.

When reporting the scenario outcomes, a synthetic indicator that condenses production and consumption developments into a single element is used, i.e. the self-sufficiency rate² (SSR) (Figure 4). In relative terms, dairy products ‘suffer’ more from the pandemic than crops or meat, which show only modest changes. The net demand for dairy products increased due to higher domestic and EU demand for fresh dairy products and cheese (irrespective of reduced exports, e.g. cheese to Japan), while milk production showed a slight increase. The result is a decrease in the self-sufficiency indicator. In the medium term, crop and dairy production are expected to remain slightly below the pre-pandemic situation, driven by price prospects at the international level. Total consumption of dairy products is expected to remain around 3-5% below the pre-COVID case over 2020-2024 in the pessimistic case.

In Germany, GDP fell significantly in 2020, by around 5.3%. During 2020, the closure of the HORECA sector and the services delivered by contact-based professions were compensated to a relatively high degree by increases in manufacturing. In general terms, agri-food was affected by shifts in demand. Other important elements that ‘shaped’ the development of the agri-food sector were COVID-19 outbreaks in some food-processing firms, a shortage of seasonal workers, increases in operating costs related to measures for preventing the spread of the virus, as well as market developments at the global level. Increases in demand for organic products, fresh fruit and vegetables were led by private households. In contrast, the closure of restaurants led to a reduced consumption of beef, frozen vegetables, and potatoes.

When aggregating the outcomes of the simulations, the German agri-food sector appears to be affected only to a limited extent. Stronger impacts are identified in the self-sufficiency rates of meat (Figure 5). It is important to note, however, that the pre-COVID baseline does not reflect the impacts of the African Swine Fever (ASF) outbreak in September 2020. All the scenarios suggest that self-sufficiency rates will increase at least until 2023 compared to the situation before the pandemic. In general, domestic demand is expected to be sluggish due to reduced economic activity. This would eventually create a need for exporting the surplus at reduced international prices, reinforcing the subliminal trend of meat consumption decline. This situation could prevail until 2025 in the pessimistic scenario. In the case of dairy, the pre-COVID baseline shows a higher self-sufficiency rate, reflecting lower world market prices. This expected trend could lead to a slight production decline. Nevertheless, the impacts of the COVID-19 scenarios on the self-sufficiency rate of the dairy sector are expected to be low although marginally stronger in the pessimistic case. In general, the self-sufficiency rates of crops are expected to be only marginally affected.

In 2020, Italian GDP showed a strong 8.8% contraction. The total or partial closure of the HORECA sector affected the various supply chains differently. Sectors such as wine, fish and horticulture were the ones that suffered the most.

However, an improvement in the agri-food trade balance was registered by the end of 2020. Compared to the previous year, the trade surplus exceeded 3 billion euros, given the stability of exports and a 5.1% decrease in imports. Exports

---

¹ In the first weeks of the pandemic, Dutch prices for ornamentals were 30-50% lower than usual with a significant share of the supply having to be destroyed. See, also: https://www.floraldaily.com/article/9199470/ornamental-industry-trying-to-survive-covid-19-frenzy/.

² The indicator is calculated as production/consumption ×100. Several considerations should be kept in mind when interpreting the outcomes. The meat indicator is not ‘tracking’ trade of live animals. The dairy indicator is a weighted average (based on fat content) including cheese, butter, drinking milk, cream, fresh dairy products, SMP and WMP. The crop indicator covers durum wheat, soft wheat, oats, barley, maize, triticale, rye, rapeseed, soybean, and sunflower. Weighting factors are based on end uses of each crop.

---

Figure 4: Self-sufficiency rate (%): Netherlands.
Source: Own compilation based on AGMEMOD simulations
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Figure 5: Self-sufficiency rate (%): Germany.
Source: Own compilation based on AGMEMOD simulations

Figure 6: Self-sufficiency rate (%): Italy.
Source: Own compilation based on AGMEMOD simulations

of pasta, rice, olive oil and tomato preserves increased. Declines in imports were mainly registered for cereals, fish products, baked goods, and cheeses. As shown in the scenario simulations (Figure 6), the value of dairy exports is expected to recover to the pre-COVID level only by 2024 given the expected decline in global demand and prices.

An increase in domestic demand for meat products was observed in 2020, leading to a lower self-sufficiency rate. The scenarios suggest that per capita consumption could stabilise by 2022. For crops, available data for 2020 confirms the simulation results, with marginal changes when comparing the pre-COVID baseline with the pandemic situation. Nevertheless, the decrease in prices for the three sectors raises some concerns regarding the impact on farm incomes. In general terms, prices are expected to return to pre-COVID levels only by 2025.

Taking Hungary in Central-Eastern Europe as an example, Hungarian GDP declined by -5.0% in 2020. The slowdown of economic activities is expected to have a noticeable and, with respect to the projected strengthening of meat prices, lasting impact on meat consumption trends in some EU Member States. After the ‘panic-buying’ rush that characterised the first weeks of the pandemic, the demand for meat declined and remained sluggish through most of 2020. The closure of the HORECA sector, the erosion of consumer spending by 2.5% and the overall increase in food prices by 7.4% contributed to this.

National data on meat production and trade reflects the drop in domestic consumption. In 2020, total slaughter of cattle, sheep, pigs, and poultry, expressed in slaughter weight, decreased by 1%. In addition, imports of beef and pork declined by 6% and 22%, respectively, compared to 2019, respectively. The trade balance showed a slight improvement for beef and turned positive for pork. At the same time, poultry meat imports stagnated, while exports shrunk by 10% compared to 2019, which is explained, in part, by the avian influenza outbreaks in the country. The pressure on producer prices as well as increasing feed costs have forced many poultry farmers to suspend the placing of day-old chicks and to liquidate breeding flocks which resulted in a shortage of poultry for slaughter in early 2021.

If we look further ahead, meat consumption is expected to lag increasingly behind the pre-COVID scenario in the coming years from around 4% to 6% in the pessimistic scenario (Figure 7). Even in the optimistic scenario, this gap is unlikely to close before 2025. However, slack domestic demand for meat is expected to be overcompensated by growing exports, especially for poultry meat, driven by rising international prices and a weak domestic currency. Improving international competitiveness might help total Hungarian
meat production to overshoot the pre-COVID case slightly in all scenarios. As for dairy, the rising SSR values are also explained by contracting domestic demand.

The modelling results for the ‘COVID-19’ scenarios for meat, dairy and crops at the country level are summarised in Table 2.

Table 2: Overview of country results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Meat</th>
<th>Dairy</th>
<th>Crops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: (+/-) indicates an increase (decline) in SSR in the case of the ‘COVID-19’ scenarios compared to the pre-pandemic case / indicates that SSR remains stable.

Conclusions

The country level analysis confirms that the EU’s agriculture sector has been quite resilient during the pandemic, and it is expected to remain so, even though the food sector in the Member States was affected in different ways. The impacts of GDP shocks on the agri-food sector are limited, but changes in consumer behaviour could lead to longer lasting impacts on specific sectors (e.g. meat production). Trade flows contributed to buffering domestic market disruptions but induced some strong price changes in local as well as world markets (e.g. the ornamental and potato sectors). On the supply side, price developments had a considerably larger impact compared to the effects related to implementation of lockdown measures. The partial closure of food services has imposed a variety of challenges for producers and food processors who had to react quickly to supply the ‘private’ kitchens of consumers who became increasingly concerned about adopting new dietary patterns. The impact of the income shock seems to have been limited due to the low-income elasticity of food products, as well as policy support measures implemented to mitigate the destruction of jobs and the overall economic collapse.
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