

PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT (PEMS) STUDIES IN AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

Introduction

Studies in Agricultural Economics is a peer-reviewed journal committed to ensuring the highest standards of publication ethics. All parties involved in the publishing process (editors, authors, reviewers and the publisher) have to agree upon standards of ethical behaviour. Our Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement (PEMS) is based on the Code of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors of the Committee on Publication Ethics – COPE (available at <http://publicationethics.org/>).

Authors should be aware that Studies in Agricultural Economics is an open access journal where publication is totally free of charge. Studies in Agricultural Economics publishes three issues a year (published in April, August and December). All articles are made available to the readers free of charge without any subscriptions. All content is made available online, including previous issues and articles. In this respect, the journal's homepage (<https://studies.hu>) is serving as an electronic backup for preserving access to the journal's content.

Information on ownership and management are clearly stated in the journal's homepage (<https://studies.hu/about/>). The journal's website has been designed in a way that high ethical and professional standards are ensured. The name of the journal is unique and is not aimed to be confusable with any other journals.

The Editor-in-Chief and the Editorial Board

Studies in Agricultural Economics has an established Editorial Board with recognised experts in agricultural economics. The full names and affiliations of Editorial Board Members are available at <https://studies.hu/about/>. Contact information for the Editorial Office as well as copyright and licencing information is also given on our journal's website and are available at <https://studies.hu/about/>. We are highly committed to full editorial independence.

The Editor-in-Chief and the Editorial Board of Studies in Agricultural Economics are responsible for

- Deciding on whether the manuscripts submitted to the journal should be published or not. In making such decisions, they are guided by the policies of the journal (Instruction for Authors, available here: <https://studies.hu/submission>) and by legal requirements including copyright and plagiarism issues;
- Providing guidance to guest editors, authors and reviewers on the whole publication process;
- Providing new editorial board members with guidelines and keeping existing members updated;
- Evaluating manuscripts exclusively on the basis of their academic and intellectual merit, without regard to race, age, gender, sexual orientation, disability, ethnic origin, religious belief, citizenship, political orientation or social class;

- Ensuring a fair and unbiased double-blind peer review of the manuscripts with all information kept confidential;
- Ensuring that authors' and peer reviewers' identities are protected;
- Ensuring that reviewers selected do not have any conflict of interest with the author(s) and that reviewers have the knowledge and experience to undertake a decent review;
- Developing and maintaining a database of suitable reviewers and updating it on the basis of reviewer performance;
- Taking appropriate actions when ethical complaints are raised concerning a submitted or published manuscript. In cases of a suspected misconduct, they follow the COPE guidelines available at <http://publicationethics.org/>.

Authors and Authors' Responsibilities

We acknowledge that different countries and institutions have different norms on who is listed as an author of the manuscript submitted. However, all authors should apply to the following principles:

- Authors should have substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work and/or data gathering & analysis and/or the interpretation of the results;
- Authors should not publish the outcomes of the same research in more than one journal, including Studies in Agricultural Economics;
- Authors should not submit the same manuscript simultaneously to more than one journal at a time;
- Authors must ensure that they have written original works and that any work or ideas of others authors, contributors or sources have been appropriately cited and referenced;
- Authors should take full responsibility and are accountable for all aspects of the work submitted including all important intellectual content and property rights;
- Authors should agree that by submitting a manuscript to the journal, they adhere to principles listed in this PEMS statement;
- Authors are obliged to participate in the peer review process and provide retractions or corrections of the mistakes;
- Authors should provide a list of references and all financial support;
- Authors should approve the final version of the manuscript published.

We are committed to the highest standards of authorship transparency. We believe that the corresponding author has the right to act on behalf of all co-authors from the very beginning of the publication process.

Peer-review process

All submitted papers are reviewed using the double blind peer review process through which advice is asked on individual manuscripts from expert reviewers. All author names and affiliations are removed before sending the paper out for review. On submission, an article receives a manuscript number. This number should be quoted in all correspondence relating to the article.

New submissions are sent to two independent, anonymous reviewers, selected by the Editor-in-Chief. The recommendations of the reviewers are sent to the authors by the

Editor-in-Chief who is responsible for the objectivity of the judgements and findings of the reviews. Revised articles should be sent directly to the Editor-in-Chief. When an article has been accepted, the Editor-in-Chief will inform the author(s) in which issue the paper will be published.

Reviewers have the following responsibilities:

- Reviewers assist the Editor-in-Chief and the Editorial Board in making editorial decisions and in improving the manuscript;
- Reviewers who feel unqualified to provide a scientifically correct review in the amount of time given should immediately notify the Editor-in-Chief so that alternative reviewers can be contacted;
- Reviewers treat all manuscripts as confidential documents;
- Reviewers must report the Editor-in-Chief if they are aware of any copyright infringement and plagiarism;
- Reviews should be conducted objectively, and observations should be formulated clearly with supporting arguments;
- Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest.

Plagiarism

“Plagiarism ranges from the unreferenced use of others’ published and unpublished ideas, including research grant applications to submission under “new” authorship of a complete paper, sometimes in a different language” (COPE Guidelines on Good Publication Practice, available at: <http://publicationethics.org/>).

Examples of plagiarism include using another person’s ideas and/or language as if they were one’s own by quoting or using ideas without citing the source; paraphrasing; cutting and pasting internet-based materials and/or submitting someone else’s work as part of one’s own work.

Studies in Agricultural Economics do not tolerate plagiarism in any forms and keep the right to check all submissions with appropriate plagiarism check tools. Submissions containing plagiarism, in whole or part, are automatically rejected. If plagiarism is discovered post-publication, we will follow the *Retractions, Corrections and Expressions of Concern* section guidelines of this PEMS document.

The Editor-in-Chief and the Editorial Board will take all the necessary and reasonable steps to identify plagiarism and prevent publishing papers where any research misconduct occur. The Editor-in-Chief and the Editorial Board will, under no circumstances, encourage or knowingly allow such misconducts.

Retractions, Corrections and Expressions of Concern

The Editor-in-Chief and the Editorial Board will take Retractions, Corrections and Expressions of Concern in line with COPE’s guidelines (<http://publicationethics.org/>). If an author has made an error, the journal will issue a corrigendum. If the journal has made an error, this will issue an erratum. Retractions are just reserved for articles that

are seriously flawed and their findings and conclusions should not be relied upon. The publisher as well as the Editors are always willing to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed.

If the journal's publisher or editors are made aware of any allegation of research misconduct, they will deal with such allegations immediately and inform all parties involved. In all cases raised, the Editors will follow COPE's associated guidelines.